

Index – Attachment 5

Restrictions, Taiwan’s Superior Performance and Questions	51
1. Restrictions are Often Contradictory, Inconsistent and Capricious.....	53
2. Testing, Tracing & Quarantining – Taiwan’s Success	54
3. Personal Behaviour: Hand Washing	56
4. Fitness and General Health	56
5. Lack of a Comprehensive Plan for Managing the Virus	56
6. Control Measures.....	56
7. Control Including Coercion and Force is the Opposite of Leadership.....	57
8. Coordinated Use of Slogans, Tactics & Sources Across Australia & the Western World.....	60
9. Audit JobKeeper	60

Restrictions include masks, social distancing, movements, work, social activities – like people naturally do with the flu.

Let’s focus on masks that to many people are the most obvious restriction apart from lockdowns.

State and territory governments have never provided the empirical scientific evidence that masks are effective when worn in public.

1. Restrictions are Often Contradictory, Inconsistent and Capricious

In the early days of COVID-19 in Australia, there was a shortage of masks and at the time governments and supposed experts advised people that masks do not provide protection, are useless and there was no need to wear masks.

In February 2020 the 81-year-old Anthony Fauci, Director of America’s National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases since 1984, wrote that face masks are “*not effective in keeping out the virus*”. He said COVID is “*small enough to pass through material*.”

Later he changed his view and made a point of strongly supporting the use of masks apparently without providing empirical scientific evidence.

Now that American Senator Rand Paul has exposed Fauci’s dishonesty on the virus and on peddling the globalist agenda and especially now that investigative journalist Sharri Markson has exposed his dishonest denial of any involvement with Wuhan Institute of Virology, the source of COVID-19 he is now rapidly becoming infamous.

New Mexico state Senator and Doctor Gregg Schmedes showed that America’s Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) relies for its support for masks upon references provided on its website. The first reference cited studied two hairdressers. According to Schmedes the CDC dismisses studies showing masks are not effective for having a small sample population yet the study involves 3,030 people contains too few people. The contradictions among government agencies here and overseas are staggering and undermines public confidence.

When notifying Queenslanders of three COVID-19 cases in Southeast Queensland in January 2021, the Health Minister, Yvette D’Ath, discussed the government order mandating the wearing of masks. When journalists asked whether that order applied to drivers alone in their car, she paused, looked puzzled and then blurted out a hesitant “yes”. It was clear no one had envisaged such a question and that she had no idea. So much for the science.

In a later mask mandate imposed as a result of a minor number of cases, the government mandated the wearing of masks across the entire length and breadth of Queensland, including Bamaga on the tip of Cape York, approximately 2,700 km from Brisbane.

Are governments behaving capriciously and irresponsibly because of incompetence, or because they are knowingly using masks to condition fear?

Some medical experts considered masks, at best, useless. Others consider them detrimental to people’s health.

Masks are considered detrimental to our planet as a form of pollution.

Data

Where, specifically, is governments’ peer-reviewed empirical data as evidence that the general public’s wearing of masks in public places is necessary? Governments have not provided the specific publication title, author(s) name(s) and the specific page numbers to obtain the data and the scientific logical framework proving cause-and-effect.

Similarly, governments have not provided the specific location of peer-reviewed empirical data as evidence that other restrictions work, are necessary medically and are beneficial to society in terms of cost-benefit involving social, economic and other considerations?

Given the capricious and at times illogical directives involving masks and their possible adverse effects on the wearer’s health and on social interactions and safety, as well as children’s development, it seems that the purpose of masks is conditioning people and driving fear with constant reminders of the exaggerated virus effect.

Under the circumstances, the purpose of masks seems to be to control people.

2. Testing, Tracing & Quarantining – Taiwan’s Success

The Victorian hotel quarantine inquiry highlighted gross mismanagement:

- *“The remarkable report of Jennifer Coate into the Victorian hotel quarantine disaster details the squabbles between public servants, the incorrect delegations of responsibility and the sad role of cabinet ministers in this affair. <https://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/how-union-failures-fuelled-melbourne-protests/news-story/4107707be5801f98e2090954ff272042>.”*

She graphically described what happened as a *“lack of proper leadership and oversight”*, a *“catastrophe waiting to happen”* and a *“disaster that tragically came to be”*. Mismanagement.

In Senate speeches on Monday 23 March and Wednesday 8 April 2020, I referenced Taiwan’s performance and note again that its challenge was far more difficult than Australia’s, yet its performance far superior, and Taiwan achieved that without lockdowns:

- Taiwan’s population of 24 million people is similar to Australia’s 25 million.
- Taiwan’s population is crammed into an area of 36,193 square kilometres, while Australia’s people are spread out over 7,692,000 square kilometres. Taiwan’s population density is 663 people per square kilometre compared with Australia’s 3 people per square kilometre. After allowing for most Australians living in metropolitan areas, the population density of Taiwan’s major cities is still far higher than in Australian cities enabling the virus to be more easily transmitted in Taiwan.
- The virus arrived much earlier in Taiwan than in Australia.
- Taiwan’s Chinese population has many more interactions with mainland China than does Australia’s population.

- Despite Taiwan’s far greater challenge in managing the virus Taiwan had no lockdown and for the first year incurred seven COVID-19 deaths, whereas Australia had more than 900 over the equivalent period and had severe lockdowns in many states and cities.
- Taiwan’s economy continued with almost no impact, whereas Australian jobs and livelihoods were gutted.
- Reportedly, following a major break in quarantine *“Taiwan imposed restrictions on gatherings, including closing entertainment venues and limiting restaurants to take-out service, in mid-May (2021) following a spike in domestic cases after months of no or few cases apart from imported ones.”* <https://www.reuters.com/world/asia-pacific/taiwan-lower-covid-19-alert-level-cases-drop-2021-07-23/>.
- Taiwan’s death rate climbed steeply following a quarantine breach yet due to Taiwan’s superior management, the outbreak plummeted as quickly. Despite the serious major breach of quarantine, Taiwan’s death rate as of 11 October 2021 was 35, while Australia’s was 1.6 times higher under state regimes imposing numerous long, severe, and sometimes brutal lockdowns whereas Taiwan had no lockdowns.
- Instead, Taiwan’s government realised that health and the economy are not competing priorities because the people’s current and future health depend on economic health.
- Page 28 of the Australian Department of Health’s “plan” entitled *Australian Health Sector Emergency Response Plan for Coronavirus (COVID-19)* states:
 - *“5.3 Resilience. Building preparedness within Australia’s health systems will contribute to the resilience and sustainability of our systems. ...*
To build resilience within our most vulnerable populations, communications within the health sector will be used to raise awareness of at-risk groups and their associated needs.
Measures will also be implemented with consideration of necessary adaptations to meet the needs of these individuals and communities. The needs and challenges of communicating with low socio-economic communities, which may have reduced access to healthcare, will also be considered.”
- As I said repeatedly in the senate in 2020, Taiwan’s comprehensive plan for testing, tracing and quarantining was and is far superior to Australia’s mismanagement due to lack of data, politicians playing politics and reliance on lockdowns.
- The UN’s World Health Organisation has repeatedly suppressed news of Taiwan’s success.

Economic health is vital for future health: mental health, physical health, human interaction, human rights and freedom.

Australian federal and state governments do not have a comprehensive plan based on the seven-strategies that the federal Chief Medical Officer and federal Department of Health secretary confirmed as the strategies for an effective plan.

The Australian state and federal governments have destroyed people’s trust in government.

Australia failed in this aspect because, as is typical of Australian governments and parliaments, decisions and policies are made all too often without the necessary empirical and objective data and often in contradiction of the empirical, objective data.

3. Personal Behaviour: Hand Washing ...

- Just like the flu, with COVID-19 personal hygiene is important for managing the virus.
- Governments have discussed this.
- This is another strategy as part of the seven-strategy plan discussed above.

4. Fitness and General Health

- Just like with the flu, people’s immune system is stronger with regular sunshine, fresh air, exercise, Vitamin D, sound nutrition, human engagement, lower stress.
- Obesity is a major COVID-19 co-morbidity.
- Government has been silent about advice on ways to minimise other co-morbidities and risk factors.
- This is another strategy as part of the seven-strategy plan discussed above.

5. Lack of a Comprehensive Plan for Managing the Virus

In Senate Budget Estimates hearings in May-June 2021, the federal Chief Medical Officer and secretary of the federal Department of Health confirmed as accurate and complete the seven strategies needed for a comprehensive plan for managing a virus. These are:

1. Treatments and cures such as antivirals and prophylactics
2. Testing, tracing, quarantining
3. Fitness and general health
4. Personal behaviour (such as washing hands and hygiene)
5. Restrictions including the wearing of masks and social distancing
6. Vaccines
7. Lockdowns (initially to get control of the virus)

From the inconsistent and often contradictory behaviour within each state and across states and the federal government, and from the lack of data shared with the public, it is clear that there is no coherent, comprehensive plan for managing the virus, and it is clear that the so-called national “cabinet’s” “plans” and “roadmaps” are not comprehensive plans.

The public blaming, public criticism, bickering, squabbling, contradictions, reversals and lies confirm the lack of solid data for decisions, policies and edicts confirm the lack of a coherent, comprehensive plan and confirm a lack of commitment and integrity across the states.

This confirms the lack of leadership.

The edicts and orders imposed without consultation and explanatory data as justification reveal abandonment of leadership and instead the use of force, coercion and control.

6. Control Measures

- So-called health directives made under state emergency directives contain many contradictions that betray them as unscientific and capricious. State governments contradictions occur within each government, between governments and with the federal government.

- Instead of a plan and informed leadership there is no meaningful direction for the nation or for states.
- Police are used coercively and violently. Victorian police head-slammed a 74-year-old red-headed lady and a non-threatening man into the ground among many needlessly violent acts.
- People lost the fundamental right to protest government. Governments reveal they fear protestors and free speech.
- Governments make many unlawful and unjustified breaches of laws.
- The federal government deceitfully and breached the federal constitution, Section 51, 23A. Its actions remain unconstitutional.
- There is a massive taxpayer-funded concerted media and propaganda campaign.
- News of Taiwan’s success has been ignored.
- Governments push a strategy of division and separation within the community resulting in medical tyranny and medical apartheid.
- Labels, smears, and lies such use of the terms neo-Nazis, extreme far right, anti-vaxxers create and maintain division. These become subtle implicit controls and suppression.
- Fear based on gross exaggerations and dishonesty reveal the common political tool to control. Fear bypasses the human neo-cortex responsible for rational, logical thinking and many people swallow ludicrous edicts.
- Governments have abandoned respect for the people, the law and integrity.
- Queensland’s state health system was in crisis before COVID and now the Premier blames the people not injected.

7. Control Including Coercion and Force is the Opposite of Leadership

- Instead of listening to people, lazy and incompetent politicians are labelling and dismissing people.
- Instead of planning, politicians are controlling and suppressing.
- Instead of uniting people invoking courage, politicians are dividing with fear.
- Instead of uniting people with objective scientific data and facts, politicians are dividing people with politics.
- Instead of uniting people for freedom, politicians are dividing people for control.
- Instead of uniting people for humanity, politicians are dividing people for power.
- Governments are undermining their authority and societal values.
- Governments are undermining respect for the law and for society.
- People then do the same.

Where’s the plan for managing the virus? We cannot afford to open and close repeatedly and endure waves, especially now that politicians are calling for us to live with the virus, as other countries are already doing.

For a plan to be complete and effective it needs to be based on solid, replicable, objective data and specify the basic elements of 5 x W’s and H:

- What?
- Why?
- Who?
- When?
- Where?
- And then How?

With continued capricious opening and closing and the lack of a plan for managing the virus, the vulnerable will be at most risk.

Where’s the data on which policies, decisions and orders were made?

Where’s the Treasury modelling? Federal Treasury? State Treasury? Economic impacts? These are needed to assess the impacts and assess the benefits and costs? And to reassure people.

What are the costs economically on our state and federal economies, small businesses, our communities, individual citizens?

The Queensland Premier dishonestly and misleadingly trotted out an existing infrastructure plan that was launched before COVID and re-branded it as a COVID measure. Was that for political gain?

After 18 months, where is the government’s plan?

The federal government also trotted out a pretty website pretending it was a plan. Page 9 of the Australian Department of Health’s “plan” entitled *Australian Health Sector Emergency Response Plan for Coronavirus (COVID-19)* states:

- **“2.6 Ethical framework**

In 2008, AHPPC agreed on an ethical framework to guide health sector responses. These values will be taken into account when planning and implementing actions under this plan, and can be outlined as:

Equity - *Providing care in an equitable manner, recognising special needs, cultural values and religious beliefs of different members of the community. This is especially important when providing health services to vulnerable individuals, such as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and people who are culturally and linguistically diverse.*

Individual liberty - *Ensuring that the rights of the individual are upheld as much as possible*

Privacy and confidentiality of individuals - *Is important and should be protected. Under extraordinary conditions during a pandemic, it may be necessary for some elements to be overridden to protect others.*

Proportionality - *Ensuring that measures taken are proportional to the threat.*

Protection of the public - Ensuring that the protection of the entire population remains a primary focus.

Provision of care - Ensuring that health care workers (HCWs) are able to deliver care appropriate to the situation, commensurate with good practice, and their profession’s code of ethics.

Reciprocity - Ensuring that when individuals are asked to take measures or perform duties for the benefit of society, their acts are appropriately recognised and legitimate need associated with these acts are met where possible.

Stewardship - That leaders strive to make good decisions based on best available evidence.

Trust - That health decision makers strive to communicate in a timely and transparent manner to the public and those within the health system.”

Various provisions above show that the “plan” is not a plan, rather it is a glossy façade trying to look good, not do good. Breaches of these simple and important concepts show lack of respect for the people and a lack of integrity.

Was the intent to mislead people into thinking there was a comprehensive plan when there was no such thing?

From page 42 of the same “plan” - “*Targeted action stage*:

- *The Targeted Action stage of response will commence when there is sufficient information collected about the virus to inform the refinement of the outbreak response measures already implemented, such as the scaling down or ceasing of some measures. The key objective of the Targeted Action stage is ensuring a **proportionate response** to the outbreak, so scarce resources are properly allocated where most needed and that the risk to susceptible people in the community is mitigated.”*

Why was this measure not done and apparently largely ignored?

From page 37 of the Department of Health’s plan: - “*Across all activities the **Strategic Objectives** of this response will be to:*

- *Identifying and characterising the nature of the virus and the disease in the Australian context;*
- *Minimise transmissibility, morbidity and mortality;*
- *Minimise the burden on support health systems; and*
- *Inform, engage and empower the public.”*

Why did the government’s approach not change as experience accumulated?

Instead of a data-driven plan, there are controls that are often capricious, contradictory, and inconsistent.

Why didn’t the so-called National Cabinet build hospitals and beds, instead of betting everything on untested, unproven and risky ‘vaccine’ injections? Was it because governments knew the data did not warrant the investment because the virus is dangerous only to a small percentage of people?

8. Coordinated Use of Slogans, Tactics and Sources Across Australia and the Western World

These are another form of controlling people.

Our state Premiers, our Prime Minister and the Prime Ministers or Presidents of western nations were clearly co-ordinated in their use of slogans such as “Build Back Better”, other messaging and use of tactics such as policies on travel during early and subsequent phases of the COVID-19 campaigns. We note that:

- The UN WHO, the UN as a whole, and the World Economic Forum all contain an extensive network of entities and people with financial interest in big pharmaceutical companies.
- Even before COVID-19, billions of dollars were being funnelled into companies like Pfizer through the World Economic Forum to set up vaccine alliances and pursue mRNA vaccine technology.
- These organisations held Pandemic Simulations in which world leaders, chief health/medical officers, senior bureaucrats, military commanders, media and high-level political advisors came together to model pandemics and agree on one common response.
- The environment was apparently created to exaggerate a future pandemic and then promote COVID-19 as an apocalyptic event in order to sell drugs from big multinational and transnational pharmaceutical companies and manipulate geopolitics for the UN, with many western countries acting in concert.
- When Sweden and Hungary did not participate, the media attacked them and portrayed them as stubborn and even homicidal. Yet, history has shown that those countries, along with Norway and Denmark who recently joined them, got it right on COVID-19 when they removed all restrictions and let people get on with their lives.
- The American states of Florida and South Dakota, for example, adopted liberty and are in a better position than other US States.
- This concerted UN backed campaign of tyranny has failed.
- It is time to free the people.

What was Australia’s participation in activities prior to the virus’ arrival in our country, particularly in relation to simulations and forums associated with the UN WHO and the World Economic Forum?

9. Audit JobKeeper

On behalf of our constituents, I have requested the federal government arrange an independent audit of JobKeeper.

ATTACHMENT 6

Conclusions, Core Issues and Questions

Index – Attachment 6

Conclusions, Core Issues and Questions	61
1. Governance	63
2. Media	65
3. The Core Problem is Atrocious Government and Failure of Parliamentary Oversight	65

Conclusions

Given the data and facts in preceding sections governments have failed their responsibility to provide and explain the scientific, economic, legal, and moral justification for the coerced use of untested injections and abusive use of lockdowns.

Given the state and federal governments' failure to build and follow a comprehensive plan, governments have relied on control in many forms including breaching the constitution, breaking laws, using propaganda, misrepresenting the facts, omitting facts, coercion, removal of basic human rights and freedoms. Always beneath control there is ... fear.

1. Governance

- The Victorian Premier has demolished government of the people, by the people, for the people.
- As have the Premiers of Queensland, Western Australia and South Australia and former Premier of NSW.
- As has the Prime Minister.
- Australians loathe hypocrisy, lies, theft – especially at their expense.
- Ignorance of the vital role of freedom:
 - Essential for human progress.
 - Vital for health.
 - Freedom is more than a noble cause, it is essential for health and human progress.
- Politicians and government agencies have deliberately destroying the integrity of the doctor-patient relationship, a 3,000-year foundation for human medical care.
- Governments are fracturing people's trust in crucial and valuable institutions including parliament and parliamentary government, the police, health care and nursing, aged-care, government agencies such as the Therapeutic Goods Administration, TGA.
- Mismanagement:
 - During the decades leading up to March 2020: COVID-19 revealed the basic failures of governments causing the loss of manufacturing security, high cost of living and ceding of economic and national sovereignty. COVID-19 confirmed the destruction of our economic independence and productive capacity. The arrival of COVID-19 in Australia heralded widespread acknowledgment that over many decades our country has lost its manufacturing and economic capability, independence and sovereignty.
 - Since March 2020. The last 19 months has confirmed the poor governance and extended it to realisation that parliamentary accountability has been lost. Mismanagement in the extreme has made our nation the world's laughingstock. In the 19 months from March 2020 to October 2021 the startlingly clear complete mismanagement of COVID-19 has confirmed poor governance and leadership. Several characteristics of shoddy governance abound, including the Chinese Communist Party's (CCP) targeting of Australia.

China is a bully and has observed our weak gutless national leadership for the last 25 years surrendering our sovereignty and deferring to the UN and various dishonest, corrupt, incompetent UN entities and policies while China itself cleverly pays lip service to those same bodies while continuing with policies in the interests of the CCP.

- The so-called National “Cabinet” appeared from the start to be a mechanism for the Prime Minister to take credit if the management of COVID-19 succeeded and an opportunity to blame the Premiers if it failed. It appeared to be aimed at managing the risk of failure, and not to be effective.
- It is now increasingly seen as a failure due to the politicking and lack of cohesive, consistent action. It was bound to fail due to the complexity and due to the ill-defined initial plan.
- In Australia, the challenge for the people has not been COVID-19. Rather it has been government restrictions, often imposed capriciously without data justifying. The far more extreme danger than COVID-19 is government restrictions.
- Policies are often not based on data and sometimes contrary to the data. The lack of sound, objective data and the lack of plan is a common thread through parliaments and governments in their decisions, policies and positions. Instead of making decisions based on proven objective data, governments all too often make decisions based on ideology, grabbing headlines, opinions, vested interests, rewarding donors, globalists and international entities/agencies.
- Lack of a comprehensive plan for managing the virus properly and with the intent of providing a service to the people.
- Bypassing the basis for, and justification of, policy and going straight to uninformed implementation of policy.
- This makes policy impossible to deliver across multiple administrations whether state and federal at any point in time or across different federal governments over time. If, instead, governments used objective, sound data it would make for objective government and withstand the scrutiny of subsequent successive governments.
- Surrendering our sovereignty to overseas agencies and entities such as the UN World Health Organisation, World Economic Forum, WEF and Britain’s Imperial College. Yet again, Liberal-Nationals and Labor-Greens policies align with the WEF and UN globalist policies aimed at controlling people.
- Repeated state and federal failure of parliamentary accountability.
- Parliament not working for the people and instead working for both the established coalition parties being Liberal-Nationals and Labor-Greens.
- Parliaments serving and working for parties, party donors, vested interests, foreign organisations and globalist elites.
- Members of Parliament are not afraid of the people, they’re afraid of party powerbrokers making decisions on pre-selection.
- Parties have become the centre of parliament and now control the parliament and through the parliament control the people.
- People have become a football being booted around. People pay the price for this betrayal.

- Governments and individual politicians use coercion & force, sometimes direct yet often subtly implicit and unseen. This includes whipped up fear & propaganda. This is not leadership.

Fomenting fear is not a basis for policy, nor for decisions, nor for planning.

In my career in business, I have seen uncaring executives and irresponsible union bosses using fear to control and move people. While this can work spectacularly in the short term in bypassing rational thinking and controlling people, it eventually leads to cultural sickness and malaise and people often turn in resentment and anger.

The enormous and costly failure of governance and of mismanagement have let down, forsaken, abandoned all Australians – injected and non-injected.

The recently changed line in our national anthem, changed without people having a vote, is false: we are not one and free. We, the Australian people, are now neither one, nor are we free.

Death figures confirm there is no virus pandemic in Australia or overseas. There is, though, a pandemic of fear, panic and coercion.

2. Media

As a result of the legacy media censoring reports and the media peddling excess fear, and being an arm for government propaganda, the legacy media is losing the people's trust and declining in audience numbers, credibility and influence.

As a result of censoring people, the new phenomenon of social media has lost its credibility.

People are now increasingly turning to independent media involving start-up and private individuals.

3. The Core Problem is Atrocious Government and Failure of Parliamentary Oversight Leading to Mismanagement and Loss of Accountability

The issue was initially fear of Covid due to exaggerations preying on people's ignorance.

The issue then became political and fear was reinforced and deepened with masks and capricious, often contradictory lockdowns reinforcing fear.

Then it became medical. Then it became injections along with control and propaganda.

Throughout the agenda has clearly been to control people.

State and federal governments created this mess.

We can help untangle it and end it.

Yet that will require:

- Courage to admit error and confess the truth
- Sharing data openly to scrutiny
- Listening
- Policy and decisions based on data and on people's needs
- Leadership based on strength of character and on objective data and aimed at fulfilling people's basic universal human needs.

- Increase scrutiny on the leadership of medical and government organisations to restore public trust. This is fundamental to public health and economic security.
- Consider whether Senators should sit in the senate chamber in state blocks as one way to return the Senate to being the states' house. This discussion has started with a senior Liberal Senator.
- Closer scrutiny of political donations and a change to the system to stop the Liberal-Nationals and Labor-Greens coalitions using their current practice of double-dipping involving taxpayer funding of election campaigns.
- Restore respectful and honest behaviour during parliamentary Question Time where behaviour is currently disrespectful of the people and fundamentally dishonest.
- Restore the upper house in Queensland's parliament that is currently the only unicameral parliament in Australia after Labor abolished the previous upper house. Queensland state parliament currently ignores as much as 30 per cent of voters at a time when one third to one half of voters are dissatisfied with the large coalition parties.

5. Leadership

Increasingly, people see poor leadership in state and federal governments and have lost faith in parliaments.

The following observations are given:

- Above all, for effective leadership power must be used ethically.
- Leadership inspires, unites and draws people together toward an agreed objective, goal or vision. Fear-based coercion, intimidation and pushing is not leadership, it is bullying.
- Coercion fails and undermines people's confidence in government. A leader's role is to unite and lead not to divide and coerce. It is far more effective when people have a choice of tested, proven strong protections and people are freely allowed to choose.
- The most important leadership trait is strength of character which includes a leader being:
 - Honest with self and about self
 - Self-disciplined
 - Persistent in staying the course and withstanding despite being alone or suffering setbacks
 - Able to freely admit mistakes and honestly consider criticism
 - Able to freely admit what (s)he does not know and freely asking others for help
 - A driver of high standards so that (s)he accepts nothing less and addresses people who fail to meet expected standards.
- Australian values drove our nation's success and their abandonment or dilution caused our country to lose its way.
- We need to end the many divisions in our nation, divisions that are largely politically driven. We need to unite with objective data and facts, and with political courage based on uniting for humanity and freedom.

(Separation of society into two opposing groups is an element of classic propaganda and a feature of those who seek to divide to control. Dividing society undermines our combined strength as a cohesive society.)

- Confident people lead and have no need to bully.
- Effective leadership is always toward freedom.
- Leadership does not make idle promises wildly and does not break promises.

6. The Basics

The two fundamental structures on which human society is organised are:

- Family
- Nation state

These combine with our federal constitution's Christian heritage and basis expressed in the constitution's preamble. Judeo-Christian values include:

- Personal consciousness for personal responsibility.
- Forgiveness – true forgiveness leading to strength, compassion, and grace.

Instead of living in a nation in compliance with our federal Constitution, we now live in a world where the government creates a problem and then insists that citizens give up basic human rights and freedoms to solve the problem.

Australians want to cancel their 18 month free trial of totalitarianism.

People need to have their autonomy over their own lives. This is fundamental for personal responsibility and accountability.

Australians want to restore parliament to serve the people. Increasingly after the last 19 months, younger and older Australians are lining up to change the composition of parties and members in state and federal parliaments.

We all want good health. We all want effective treatment. We all want freedom to choose our treatment and our choice to be accepted.

Respect the Constitution and our freedoms, stay united, stick together.

We have one flag. We are one community. We are one nation.